

**Amendment and Response**

Applicant: Michael R. Krause et al.

Serial No.: 09/980,761

Filed: April 15, 2002

Docket No.: 10003628-2

Title: **RELIABLE MULTI-UNICAST****IN THE CLAIMS**

Please amend claims 2-3 and 25 as follows:

1. (Cancelled)
2. (Currently Amended) A distributed computer system comprising:  
a source endnode participating in a multicast group and including:  
a source process which produces message data;  
a send work queue having work queue elements that describe the message data for multicasting; and  
a network interface controller having a completion processing unit configured to generate a completion event to the source process in response to an indication that a predetermined percentage of destination endnodes in the multicast group have reliably received a selected amount of message data multicast from the source endnode;  
multiple destination endnodes participating in the multicast group, each destination endnode including:  
a destination process; and  
a receive work queue having work queue elements that describe where to place incoming message data;  
communication fabric providing communication between the source endnode and the multiple destination endnodes; and  
multiple end-to-end contexts, each end-to-end context having a portion storing state information at the source node and a portion storing state information at a corresponding one of the destination endnodes to ensure the reception and sequencing of message data multicast from the source endnode to the corresponding one of the destination endnodes, wherein a reliable multicast comprises a series of replicated unicasts of message data though the send work queue and each of the end-to-end contexts portions at the source endnode to the receive work queue and the corresponding end-to-end context portion at each of the destination endnodes.

**Amendment and Response**

Applicant: Michael R. Krause et al.

Serial No.: 09/980,761

Filed: April 15, 2002

Docket No.: 10003628-2

Title: RELIABLE MULTI-UNICAST

---

3. (Currently Amended) The distributed computer system of claim 2 wherein the ~~source endnode includes a network interface controller in the source endnode~~ source endnode is configured to which packetizes the message data into frames.

4. (Previously Presented) The distributed computer system of claim 3 wherein the destination endnodes each include a network interface controller which acknowledges receipt of frames multicast from the source endnode.

5. (Previously Presented) The distributed computer system of claim 4 wherein the network interface controller and the end-to-end context portion in each destination endnode ensure strong ordering of received frames multicast from the source endnode, such that the frames are received in a same defined order as transmitted from the source endnode.

6. (Previously Presented) The distributed computer system of claim 4 wherein the source endnode retransmits frames that are not successively acknowledged in the reliable multicast.

7. (Previously Presented) The distributed computer system of claim 3 wherein the network interface controller in the source endnode includes hardware which replicates frames to be provided in the series of unicasts.

8. (Previously Presented) The distributed computer system of claim 2 wherein the source endnode includes software verbs which perform the series of unicasts as a series of individual sequenced message send operations.

9. (Previously Presented) The distributed computer system of claim 2 wherein changes in composition of the endnodes participating in the multicast group are communicated to all endnodes participating in the multicast group.

**Amendment and Response**

Applicant: Michael R. Krause et al.

Serial No.: 09/980,761

Filed: April 15, 2002

Docket No.: 10003628-2

Title: RELIABLE MULTI-UNICAST

---

10. (Previously Presented) The distributed computer system of claim 2 wherein the source endnode and each destination endnode maintains a list of destination addresses for all other endnodes participating in the multicast group.

11. (Previously Presented) The distributed computer system of claim 4 wherein the network interface controller in each destination endnode generates cumulative acknowledgments.

12. (Previously Presented) The distributed computer system of claim 4 wherein the network interface controller in each destination endnode generates acknowledgments on a per frame basis.

13. (Previously Presented) The distributed computer system of claim 4 the network interface controller of the source endnode includes the completion processing unit which gathers acknowledgements from the destination endnodes and completes frame operation by informing the source process of an operation status of multicast frames.

14. (Previously Presented) The distributed computer system of claim 13 wherein the source endnode further comprises:

a completion queue containing information related to completed work queue elements, wherein the completion processing unit communicates with the source process via the completion queue.

15. (Previously Presented) The distributed computer system of claim 13 wherein the completion processing unit informs the source process which destination processes, if any, did not receive multicast frames.

16. (Previously Presented) The distributed computer system of claim 13 wherein the completion processing unit includes an acknowledgement counter which counts acknowledgements received from the corresponding destination endnodes in the multicast

**Amendment and Response**

Applicant: Michael R. Krause et al.

Serial No.: 09/980,761

Filed: April 15, 2002

Docket No.: 10003628-2

Title: RELIABLE MULTI-UNICAST

---

group indicating that the corresponding destination endnode has received a frame multicast from the source endnode.

17. (Previously Presented) The distributed computer system of claim 16 wherein completion processing unit generates a completion event to the source process when the acknowledgement counter indicates that a predetermined percentage of the destination endnodes in the multicast group have acknowledged the multicast frame has been received.

18. (Previously Presented) The distributed computer system of claim 16 wherein completion processing unit generates a completion event to the source process when the acknowledgement counter indicates that all of the destination endnodes in the multicast group have acknowledged the multicast frame has been received.

19. (Previously Presented) The distributed computer system of claim 13 wherein the completion processing unit includes a bit-mask array which assigns a unique bit for each destination endnode in the multicast group and clears each bit as a corresponding acknowledgment is received from the corresponding destination endnode in the multicast group indicating that the corresponding destination endnode has received a frame multicast from the source endnode.

20. (Previously Presented) The distributed computer system of claim 19 wherein the completion processing unit generates a completion event to the source process when the bit-mask array has a predetermined percentage of bits cleared in the bit-mask array indicating that that a predetermined percentage of the destination endnodes in the multicast group have acknowledged the multicast frame has been received.

21. (Previously Presented) The distributed computer system of claim 19 wherein the completion processing unit generates a completion event to the source process when the bit-mask array has all bits cleared in the bit-mask array indicating that that all of the destination endnodes in the multicast group have acknowledged the multicast frame has been received.

**Amendment and Response**

Applicant: Michael R. Krause et al.

Serial No.: 09/980,761

Filed: April 15, 2002

Docket No.: 10003628-2

Title: RELIABLE MULTI-UNICAST

---

22. (Previously Presented) The distributed computer system of claim 13 wherein the completion processing unit includes a timing window, wherein expiring of the timing window without necessary conditions for a completion event for a corresponding multicast frame occurring indicates that any missing acknowledgments are to be tracked and resolved.

23. (Previously Presented) The distributed computer system of claim 2 wherein a given process joins the multicast group by performing a multicast join operation.

24. (Previously Presented) The distributed computer system of claim 2 wherein a given process leaves the multicast group by performing a multicast leave operation.

25. (Currently Amended) A method of multicasting in a distributed computer system including a source endnode participating in a multicast group and multiple destination endnodes participating in the multicast group, the method comprising:

producing message data with a source process at the source endnode;

describing the message data for multicasting with work queue elements in a send work queue at the source endnode;

describing where to place incoming message data with work queue elements in a receive work queue at each of the multiple destination endnodes;

storing in each of multiple end-to-end contexts state information at the source node and state information at a corresponding one of the destination endnodes to ensure the reception and sequencing of message data multicast from the source endnode to the corresponding one of the destination endnodes;

reliably multicasting data including performing a series of replicated unicasts of message data through the send work queue and each of portions of the end-to-end contexts at the source endnode to the receive work queue and corresponding end-to-end context portions at each of the destination endnodes; and

generating, with a network interface controller at the source endnode, a completion event to the source process in response to an indication that a predetermined percentage of destination endnodes in the multicast group have reliably received a selected amount of message data multicast from the source endnode.

**Amendment and Response**

Applicant: Michael R. Krause et al.

Serial No.: 09/980,761

Filed: April 15, 2002

Docket No.: 10003628-2

Title: **RELIABLE MULTI-UNICAST**

---

26. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 25 further comprising:  
packetizing, at the source endnode, the message data into frames.
27. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 26 further comprising:  
acknowledging, at each of the destination endnodes, receipt of frames multicast from  
the source endnode.
28. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 27 further comprising:  
ensuring strong ordering of received frames multicast from the source endnode, such  
that the frames are received in a same defined order as transmitted from the source endnode.
29. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 27 further comprising:  
retransmitting frames that are not successively acknowledged in the reliable multicast.
30. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 26 wherein the packetizing the message  
data into frames includes replicating replicatings frames to be provided in the series of  
unicasts.
31. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 25 wherein the series of unicasts are  
performed as a series of individual sequenced message send operations.
32. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 25 further comprising:  
communicating changes in composition of the endnodes participating in the multicast  
group to all endnodes participating in the multicast group.
33. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 25 further comprising:  
maintaining, at the source endnode and each destination endnode, a list of destination  
addresses for all other endnodes participating in the multicast group.

**Amendment and Response**

Applicant: Michael R. Krause et al.

Serial No.: 09/980,761

Filed: April 15, 2002

Docket No.: 10003628-2

Title: **RELIABLE MULTI-UNICAST**

---

34. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 27 wherein the acknowledging, at each of the destination endnodes, includes generating cumulative acknowledgments.
35. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 27 wherein the acknowledging, at each of the destination endnodes, includes generating acknowledgments on a per frame basis.
36. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 28 further comprising:  
gathering, at the source endnode, acknowledgements from the destination endnodes;  
and  
completing frame operation by informing the source process of an operation status of multicast frames.
37. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 36 further comprising:  
maintaining information related to completed work queue elements in a completion queue; and  
communicating with the source process via the completion queue.
38. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 36 further comprising:  
informing the source process which destination processes, if any, did not receive multicast frames.
39. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 36 further comprising:  
counting acknowledgements received from the corresponding destination endnodes in the multicast group indicating that the corresponding destination endnode has received a frame multicast from the source endnode.
40. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 39 further comprising:  
generating a completion event to the source process when the counted acknowledgements indicate that a predetermined percentage of the destination endnodes in the multicast group have acknowledged the multicast frame has been received.

**Amendment and Response**

Applicant: Michael R. Krause et al.

Serial No.: 09/980,761

Filed: April 15, 2002

Docket No.: 10003628-2

Title: **RELIABLE MULTI-UNICAST**

- 
41. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 39 further comprising:  
generating a completion event to the source process when the counted acknowledgements indicate that all of the destination endnodes in the multicast group have acknowledged the multicast frame has been received.
42. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 36 further comprising:  
assigning a unique bit in a bit-mask array for each destination endnode in the multicast group; and  
clearing each bit in the bit-mask array as a corresponding acknowledgment is received from the corresponding destination endnode in the multicast group indicating that the corresponding destination endnode has received a frame multicast from the source endnode.
43. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 42 further comprising:  
generating a completion event to the source process when a predetermined percentage of bits are cleared in the bit-mask array indicating that that a predetermined percentage of the destination endnodes in the multicast group have acknowledged the multicast frame has been received.
44. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 42 further comprising:  
generating a completion event to the source process when all bits are cleared in the bit-mask array indicating that that all of the destination endnodes in the multicast group have acknowledged the multicast frame has been received.
45. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 36 further comprising:  
maintaining a timing window at the source endnode, wherein expiring of the timing window without necessary conditions for a completion event for a corresponding multicast frame occurring indicates that any missing acknowledgments are to be tracked and resolved.
46. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 25 further comprising:  
performing a multicast join operation to join a given process to the multicast group.



**Amendment and Response**

Applicant: Michael R. Krause et al.

Serial No.: 09/980,761

Filed: April 15, 2002

Docket No.: 10003628-2

Title: **RELIABLE MULTI-UNICAST**

---

47. (Previously Presented) The method of claim 25 further comprising:  
performing a multicast leave operation to remove a given process from the multicast group.

**This Page is Inserted by IFW Indexing and Scanning  
Operations and is not part of the Official Record**

**BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES**

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images include but are not limited to the items checked:

- ☐ BLACK BORDERS
- ☐ IMAGE CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- ☐ FADED TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ BLURRED OR ILLEGIBLE TEXT OR DRAWING
- ☐ SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- ☐ COLOR OR BLACK AND WHITE PHOTOGRAPHS
- ☐ GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS
- ☒ LINES OR MARKS ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT
- ☐ REFERENCE(S) OR EXHIBIT(S) SUBMITTED ARE POOR QUALITY
- ☐ OTHER: \_\_\_\_\_

**IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.**

**As rescanning these documents will not correct the image problems checked, please do not report these problems to the IFW Image Problem Mailbox.**